

0040-4020(95)00294-4

Annulations of 2-Aminonaphthoquinone with Aldehydes and Acetals

Alicia Marcos, Carmen Pedregal and Carmen Avendaño*

Departamento de Química Orgánica y Farmacéutica, Facultad de Farmacia, Universidad Complutense, 28040-Madrid, Spain

Abstract.- One-pot annulations between 2-amino-1,4-naphthoquinone (1) and aldehydes or acetals give either 6,13-dihydro-6-azapentacene-5,7,12,14-tetraones (4) or 1*H*-2,4-dihydronaphtho[2,3-d]1,3-oxazine-5,10-diones (5). These reactions constitute new examples of Hantzsch synthesis of 1,4-dihydropyridines and 6-endo-trig ring closures, respectively.

INTRODUCTION

Oxidative additions of nucleophiles to quinones constitute one of the oldest areas of conjugate addition. Very useful annulation procedures have been derived, being the most important the Nenitzesku reaction² and the addition of ketene acetals. In high contrast, the use of 2-aminonaphthoquinones as bidentate nucleophiles is very scarce. Comparative experiments with primary and secondary 2- and 4-aminonaphthoquinone compounds (derived from 1 and 2 respectively) have shown that the reactivity against electrophiles such as methyleniminium salts, is higher in the *ortho*-quinoid compounds. ⁴ 2-Amino-1,4-naphthoquinone (1) gives by kinetic control the *N*-aminomethyl compounds, which subsequently generate the thermodinamically more stable *C*-Mannich reaction products, while under the same conditions, its isomer 4-amino-1,2-naphthoquinone (2), as well as secondary or tertiary 2-amino-1,4-naphthoquinones, directely give the *C*-aminomethyl derivatives.

We have previously shown that the nucleophillicity of the amino group in 1 is greater than that expected for its amide-like structure. Thus, 2-amino-1,4-naphthoquinone (1), and also 6- and 7-aminoquinoline-5,8-quinones, react as N-nucleophiles giving with some bis-dielectrophiles, useful intermediates that may be later cyclized to 2- or 4-oxo-1H-1-azaanthraquinones.⁵ On the other hand, Michael additions between 1 and activated unsaturated bonds differ from those generally found in β -amino- α , β -unsaturated carbonyl compounds such as 36. These results indicate that the mesomeric interaction between the nitrogen lone pair and the C₄=O group in 1 is not very important and, consequently, C-alkylations proceeding on the enol tautomer 1´ through the electronic interaction of the electron pair on the nitrogen atom with the quinoid structure, are less favoured than in related systems.

The mesomeric effect above mentioned might explain the shift of the $E_{1/2}$ value of 1 at pH 7.0 of ca. 225 mV to more negative potential, as compared with unsubstituted naphthoquinone.⁷ However, this value might be also explained if a hydrogen bond interaction between the C_1 =O and NH₂ groups took place stabilizing the quinoid form (1″).⁸ Both arguments could explain the $E_{1/2}$ values of the *N*-methyl- (still more negative than that of 2-amino-1,4-naphthoquinone) and N_1N -dimethyl- (less negative) analogues, since in the last case stabilization

6566 A. MARCOS et al.

of the quinoid form by hydrogen bond is impossible but, at the same time, steric effects also cause a poor ovelapping between the quinone nucleus and the nitrogen lone pair.

CHEMISTRY

Taking into account that 2-amino-1,4-naphthoquinones behave as bidentate nucleophiles in condensations with 1 equivalent of primary amine and 2 equivalents of formaldehyde to give 3-substituted 1,2,3,4-tetrahydrobenzo[g]chinazoline-5,10-diones in poor to moderate yields (Scheme 1),⁴ we studied the reactivity of 1 with acetals and aldehydes in order to find new annulation procedures. In the thermal cyclization of 1 with ethyl 2,2-diethoxyacetate, ethyl diquinone-13-carboxylate (4a) was obtained. The acid promoted reaction (TFA, 80 °C, 15 min.) worked better than the thermal cyclization (xylene, reflux, 5h). This transformation constitutes a new example of the Hantzsch synthesis of 1,4-dihydropyridines⁹ and has to involve a nucleophillic substitution of 1 at C-3. This means that 1 is acting here as an enamine compound, which is interesting from both synthetic and theoretical points of view.

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{RNH}_2 \text{ , } 2 \text{ CH}_2\text{O} \\ \text{5 - 44}\%^4 \\ \text{(EtO)}_2\text{CHCO}_2\text{Et} \\ \text{20}\% \\ \end{array}$$

Scheme 1

A plausible reaction mechanism involves C-3-alkylation, ethanol elimination, Michael addition of a second molecule of 1 and cyclization (Scheme 2). A precedent of the final elimination of ammonia can be seen in the reported cyclization of the 2-amino-1,4-naphthoquinone dimer to give 6H-dibenzo[b,i]carbazole-5,13:7,12-diquinone.¹⁰

Scheme 2

The use of an excess of 1, instead of improving the yields of 4a gave an intractable mixture, probably arising from autocondensation of the aminoquinone 1. The reaction with triethyl orthoformate in acetic anhydride, which was expected to give 6-azapentacene-5,7,12,14-tetraone¹¹ failed, possibly because of the lower reactivity of the 4-ethoxy-1-azadiene intermediate as a Michael substrate.

The above reaction did not work at room temperature, but when it was run at very low pH (H_2SO_4) , (\pm) -cis-diethyl 5,8-dioxo-1H-2,4-dihydronaphtho[2,3-d]1,3-oxazine-2,4-dicarboxylate (5a) was obtained after 6 days. The full process can be understood through the participation of a N, C-dialkylated intermediate and subsequent 6-endo-trig ring closure (Scheme 3).

$$1 + \underbrace{\text{CO}_2\text{Et}}_{\text{CO}_2\text{Et}} \underbrace{\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4, \text{rt}}_{\text{O}_2\text{Et}} \underbrace{\text{CO}_2\text{Et}}_{\text{CH} - \text{OEt}} \underbrace{\text{CH}_2\text{SO}_4, \text{rt}}_{\text{NH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CO}_2\text{Et}} \underbrace{\text{CH}_2\text{SO}_4, \text{rt}}_{\text{NH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CO}_2\text{Et}} \underbrace{\text{CO}_2\text{Et}}_{\text{NH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CO}_2\text{Et}} \underbrace{\text{CO}_2\text{Et}}_{\text{NH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CO}_2\text{Et}} \underbrace{\text{CO}_2\text{Et}}_{\text{NH}_2\text{CO}_2\text{Et}} \underbrace{\text{CO}_2\text{Et}}_{\text{NH}_2\text{CO}_$$

Scheme 3

6568 A. MARCOS et al.

The stereochemistry of **5a** was determined by NOE experiments. Thus, irradiation of H-2 signal (5.75 ppm) in a NOE difference experiment produced significant enhancement to the H-4 (5.55 ppm) and NH (6.65 ppm) proton resonances.

We also assayed the synthesis of compounds 4 and 5 by reaction of 1 with other acetals and aldehydes, obtaining similar results. Compounds 5, in spite of their hemiaminal structure, are surprisingly stable in an acid medium. 4,12 On the other hand, compounds 4 are deeply coloured and, in contrast with the easy oxidation of some related compounds such as 5,12-dihydro-5,12-diazanaphthazene-6,11-diones 13 or 2,3,4,5,6,7,9,10-octahydroacridine-1,8-diones, which are NADH models, 14 all attempts to oxidize them were unsuccessful and their possible tautomers 7,12-dihydroxy-6-azapentacene-5,14-quinones were not observed. The interaction between the substituent at C_{13} and the C_{12} and C_{14} carbonyl groups in the planar aromatic structure is responsible of the dificult aromatization in compounds 4 (Scheme 4).

$$\begin{array}{c} O & R & O \\ \hline O & R & O$$

i: TFA, 80 °C, 5-10 min ii: conc. H₂SO₄, r.t., 6-8 days

Scheme 4

Compounds 4 can be related with the 1,4-dithiane and 1,4-thiazine derivatives obtained by Katritzky and coworkers from 2,3-disubstituted naphthoquinones, 15 or with pentacene-5,7:12,14-diquinone obtained in the basic dimerization of 2-methylnaphthoquinone. 16

This work widens the applications of 2-amino-1,4-naphthoquinone in organic synthesis through one-pot annulations. The ease which the reactions occur and the availability of the reactants render them attractive even though the yields are low.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We thank CICYT for financial support (project FAR 0553/90 and PTR-93-0028) and Universidad Complutense of Madrid, Spain, for a grant to A. Marcos.

EXPERIMENTAL

All melting points were obtained using a Reichart hot-stage microscope or a Büchi immersion apparatus in open capillary tubes and are uncorrected. Infrared spectra were recorded on Perkin-Elmer 577 and Buck Scientific 500 spectrophotometers, with all compounds compressed into KBr pellets. ¹H-NMR and ¹³C-NMR spectra were obtained in CDCl₃ solution in 5 mm tubes using TMS as internal standard on Bruker AC-250 (250 MHz for ¹H, 63 MHz for ¹³C) and Varian VXR-300 (300 MHz for ¹H, 75 MHz for ¹³C) spectrometers. Elemental analyses were determined by the Servicio de Microanálisis, Universidad Complutense, on a Perkin-Elmer 2400 CHN microanalyzer. Reactions were monoritored by thin layer chromatography on aluminium plates coated with silica gel with fluorescent indicator (Scharlau Cf 530) or visualized with iodine. Separations by flash chromatography were performed on silica gel (SDS 60 ACC, 230-400 mesh and Scharlau Ge 048) with the indicated eluents.

All solvents were purified if necessary, according to standard procedures 17 and all reagents were purchased from Aldrich and used without further purification unless otherwise noted. Concentration of solutions was accomplished by rotary evaporation at water aspirator pressures. The expression "petroleum ether" refers to the fraction boiling at 40-60 $^{\circ}$ C.

Ethyl 5,7,12,14-tetraoxo-6,13-dihydro-6-azapentacene-13-carboxylate (4a).

A solution of 2-amino-1,4-naphthoquinone (0.4 g, 2.31 mmol), ethyl dietoxyacetate (0.44 ml, 2.48 mmol) and trifluoroacetic acid (3 ml) was placed in an Erlenmeyer flask which was immersed in a bath preheated to 80 °C with stirring for 15 minutes. Then the reaction was cooled and water (15 ml) was added. The aqueous phase was extracted with ethyl acetate (30 ml) and the organic phase was dried with Na₂SO₄. Concentration gave the crude product, which was purified on a column of silica gel eluting with ethyl acetate/petroleum ether, 1:1 to afford **4a** (0.1 g, 20%) as red crystals. Melting point: 210-211 °C. IR v: 3440 (NH), 1750 (C=O ester), 1700 and 1640 (C=O quinone), 1620, 1580, 1470, 1415, 1375, 1315, 1300, 735 cm⁻¹. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃) δ : 8.10 and 8.02 (2d, J =7.4 Hz and J=7.5 Hz, 4H, H-1, H-11 and H-4, H-8); 7.71 and 7.60 (m, 4H, H-2, H-10 and H-3, H-9); 6.25 (br s, 1H, NH); 5.52 (s, 1H, H-13); 4.22 (q, J =7.1 Hz, 2H, CH₂); 1.23 (t, J =7.1 Hz, 3H, CH₃) ppm. ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃) δ : 183.4 and 181.1 (C-5, C-7 and C-12, C-14); 169.6 (CO₂); 148.9 (C-5a, C-6a); 134.8 and 132.3 (C-2, C-10 and C-3, C-9); 133.5 and 130.2 (C-4a, C-7a and C-11a, C-14a); 126.7 and 126.3 (C-1, C-11 and C-4, C-8); 110.5 (C-12a, C-13a); 61.3 (CH₂); 37.6 (C-13); 14.2 (CH₃) ppm. Analysis calc. for C₂4H₁₅NO₆: C, 69.73; H, 3.63; N, 3.38. Found: C, 69.41; H, 3.72; N, 3.65.

13-Methyl-6,13-dihydro-6-azapentacene-5,7,12,14-tetraone (4b).

A solution of 2-amino-1,4-naphthoquinone (0.7 g, 4.04 mmol), 1,1-dietoxyethane (0.62 ml, 4.35 mmol) and trifluoroacetic acid (3 ml) was placed in a Erlenmeyer flask which was immersed in a bath preheated to 80 °C with stirring for 10 minutes. Then the reaction was cooled and the formed precipitate filtered and washed with water and then methanol to give **4b**. A further amount was obtained by concentration of the filtrate. The crude product was purified on a column of silica gel eluting with ethyl acetate/hexane, 1:1 to afford **4b** (0.2 g, 18%) as red crystals. Melting point: 274-275 °C. IR v: 3440 (NH), 1710 and 1670 (C=O quinone), 1625, 1620, 1600, 1505, 1495, 1300, 750 cm⁻¹. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃) δ : 8.31 (s, 1H, NH); 8.15 (2 overlapped d, 4H, H-1, H-11 and H-4, H-8); 7.75 (m, 4H, H-2, H-10 and H-3, H-9); 4.63 (q, J =6.6 Hz, 1H, H-13); 1.31 (d, J =6.6 Hz,

3H, CH₃) ppm. 13 C-NMR (CDCl₃) δ : 182.2 and 179.1 (C-5, C-7, C-12, C-14); 137.5 (C-5a, C-6a); 134.8 and 133.0 (C-2, C-10 and C-3, C-9); 132.7 and 130.2 (C-4a, C-7a and C-11a, C-14a); 126.6 and 126.3 (C-1, C-11 and C-4, C-8); 120.6 (C-12a, C-13a); 29.7 (C-13); 21.9 (CH₃) ppm. Analysis calc. for C₂₂H₁₃NO₄: C, 74.36; H, 3.66; N, 3.94. Found: C, 74.03; H, 3.63; N, 3.92.

13-Phenyl-6,13-dihydro-6-azapentacene-5,7,12,14-tetraone (4c).

A solution of 2-amino-1,4-naphthoquinone (1.5 g, 8.67 mmol), benzaldehyde (0.96 ml, 9.42 mmol) and trifluoroacetic acid (5 ml) was refluxed for 5 minutes. The reaction mixture was cooled and the precipitate obtained was filtered and washed with methanol to give 2c (0.3 g, 17%) as orange crystals. Melting point: >300 °C. IR v: 3490 (NH), 1730 and 1690 (C=O quinone), 1640, 1625, 1530, 1400, 1345, 1320 cm⁻¹. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃) δ : 8.46 (s, 1H, NH); 8.14 and 8.06 (2d, J =7.0 Hz and J =7.5 Hz , 4H, H-1, H-11 and H-4, H-8); 7.72 (m, 4H, H-2, H-10 and H-3, H-9); 7.47 (d, J =6.7 Hz, 2H (Ph), H-2′ and H-6′); 7.26 (m, 2H, H-3′ and H-5′); 7.20 (m, 1H, H-4′); 5.72 (s, 1H, H-13) ppm. Analysis calc. for C₂₇H₁₅NO₄: C, 77.69; H, 3.59; N, 3.35. Found: C, 77.35; H, 3.55; N, 3.55.

13-Propyl-6,13-dihydro-6-azapentacene-5,7,12,14-tetraone (4d).

A solution of 2-amino-1,4-naphthoquinone (0.6 g, 3.46 mmol), butyraldehyde (0.33 ml, 3.77 mmol) and trifluoroacetic acid (3 ml) was heated under reflux with stirring for 20 minutes. Then the reaction was cooled and the precipitate obtained was filtered and washed with absolute ethanol to give **4d** (0,15 g, 15%) as red cystals. Melting point: 272-273 °C. IR ν : 3410 (NH), 1700 and 1670 (C=O quinone), 1615, 1595, 1490, 1480, 1210, 750 cm⁻¹. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃) δ : 8.32 (s, 1H, NH); 8.13 (t, 4H, H-1, H-11 and H-4, H-8); 7.73 (m, 4H, H-2, H-10 and H-3, H-9); 4.67 (t, 1H, H-13); 1.58 (m, 2H, CH₂); 1.23 (m, 2H, CH₂); 0.81 (t, 3H, CH₃) ppm. Analysis calc. for C₂₄H₁₇NO₄: C, 75,19; H, 4.43; N, 3.65. Found: C, 75.32; H, 4.39; N, 3.57.

$Die thyl \quad 5, 10-dioxo-1 \\ H-2, 4-dihydron aphtho [2,3-d]1, 3-oxazine-2, 4-dicarboxylate \quad (5a).$

A solution of 2-amino-1,4-naphthoquinone (1.0 g, 5.78 mmol), ethyl dietoxyacetate (5 ml, 28.17 mmol), concentrated sulfuric acid (0.2 ml) and CHCl₃ (5 ml) was stirred at r.t. for 6 days. The crude product was purified on a column of silica gel eluting with ethyl acetate/petroleum ether, 1:1 to afford **5a** (0.4 g, 20%) as yellow crystals. Melting point: 122-123 °C. IR v: 3430 (NH), 1750 (C=O ester), 1690 and 1655, (C=O quinone), 1610, 1590, 1505, 1385, 740 cm⁻¹. 1 H-NMR (CDCl₃) δ : 8.09 (m, 2H, H-6 and H-9); 7.76 and 7.70 (m, 2H, H-7, H-8); 6.68 (s, 1H, NH); 5.77 (s, 1H, H-2); 5.57 (s, 1H, H-4); 4.40 (q, J =7.1 Hz, 2H, CH₂); 4.28 (q, J =7.1 Hz, 2H, CH₂); 1.40 (t, J =7.1 Hz, 3H, CH₃); 1.34 (t, J = 7.11 Hz, 3H, CH₃) ppm. 13 C-NMR (CDCl₃) δ : 179.7 and 179.3 (C-5, C-10); 169.9 and 166.1 (2 CO₂); 141.4 (C-10a); 135.0 and 132.6 (C-7 and C-8); 132.8 and 130.3 (C-5a and C-9a); 126.5 and 126.4 (C-6 and C-9); 109.2 (C-4a); 75.9 (C-2); 70.2 (C-4); 63.3 and 62.2 (CH₂); 14.2 (CH₃) ppm. Analysis calc. for C₁₈H₁₇NO₇: C, 60.16; H, 4.73; N, 3.89. Found: C, 59.85; H, 4.77; N, 3.57.

$2,4-Dimethyl-1 \\ H-2,4-dihydronaphtho [2,3-d]1,3-oxazine-5,10-dione \quad (5b).$

A solution of 2-amino-1,4-naphthoquinone (1.0 g, 5.78 mmol), dietoxyethane (4.05 ml, 28.5 mmol), concentrated sulfuric acid (0.2 ml) and CHCl₃ (5 ml) was stirred at r.t. for 6 days. The chloroform was evaporated and the crude product was purified on a column of silica gel eluting with ethyl acetate/petroleum ether, 2:8 to afford **5b** (0.5 g, 36%) as orange-red crystals. Melting point: 215-216 °C. IR v: 3360 (NH), 1730 and 1650, (C=O quinone), 1605, 1550, 1485, 1420, 1400, 1370, 1310, 1260, 760 cm⁻¹. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃) δ : 8.07 and 8.02 (2d, J =7.5 Hz and J =7.5 Hz, 2H, H-6, H-9); 7.72 and 7.61 (2t, J =7.5 Hz and J =7.5 Hz, 2H, H-7, H-8); 5.95 (br s, 1H, NH); 5.10 (m, 2H, H-2, H-4); 1.55 and 1.52 (m, 6H, C₂-CH₃ and C₄-CH₃) ppm. ¹³C-

NMR (CDCl₃) δ : 180.4 and 180.0 (C-5, C-10); 141.8 (C-10a); 134.7 and 132.0 (C-7 and C-8); 133.2 and 130.3 (C-5a and C-9a); 126.1 and 125.9 (C-6 and C-9); 116.0 (C-4a); 72.6 (C-2); 67.6 (C-4); 20.9 and 20.6 (CH₃) ppm. Analysis calc. for C₁₄H₁₃NO₃: C, 69.13; H, 5.34; N, 5.76. Found: C, 69.01; H, 5.19; N, 5.61.

2,4-Diphenyl-1H-2,4-dihydronaphtho[2,3-d]1,3-oxazine-5,10-dione (5c).

A solution of 2-amino-1,4-naphthoquinone (1.5 g, 8.67 mmol), benzaldehyde (4.42 ml, 43.35 mmol), concentrated sulfuric acid (0.3 ml) and CHCl₃ (7 ml) was stirred at r.t. for 8 days. The crude product was purified on a column of silica gel eluting with ethyl acetate/petroleum ether, 1:1 to afford **5c** (0.5 g, 16 %). Recrystallization from absolute ethanol gave orange crystals. Melting point: 199-200 °C. IR v: 3370 (NH), 1690 and 1630 (C=O quinone), 1620, 1580, 1495, 1370, 1315, 1260, 1230, 700 cm⁻¹. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃) δ : 8.10 (m, 2H, H-6, H-9); 7.75 and 7.66 (m, 2H, H-7, H-8); 7.42 (m, 10H, 2C₆H₅); 6.24 (s, 1H, H-2); 6.19 (s, 1H, NH); 5.48 (s, 1H, H-4) ppm. ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃) δ : 180.1 and 180.0 (C-5, C-10); 142.9 (C-10a); 134.8 and 132.2 (C-7 and C-8); 133.1 and 130.5 (C-5a and C-9a); 126.5 and 126.1 (C-6 and C-9); 139.8, 136.8, 129.9, 128.7, 128.6, 128.5 and 126.9 (2 C₆H₅); 112.2 (C-4a); 78.1 (C-2); 73.3 (C-4) ppm. Analysis calc. for C₂₄H₁₇NO₃: C, 78.47; H, 4.63; N, 3.81. Found: C, 78.34; H, 4.64; N, 3.92.

REFERENCES

- Perlmutter, P. Conjugate Addition Reactions in Organic Synthesis, p. 322, Pergamon Press, 1992. For reviews see Muller, E. (ed.) Methoden der Organischen Chemie, Vol. 7, Part 3a, Georg Thieme Verlag, 1977; Finley, K. T. in Patai, S. (ed.) The Chemistry of the Quinonoid Compounds, Part 2, p. 877, Wiley, 1974.
- a) Nenitzescu, C. D. Bull. Soc. Chim. Romania 1929, 11, 37 (Chem. Abstr. 1930, 24, 110). b) Allen, G. R., Org. React. 1973, 20, 337. c) Boger, D. L.; Zarrinmayeh, H. J. Org. Chem. 1990, 55, 1379.
- a) McElvain, S. M.; Engelhardt, E. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1944, 66, 1077.
 b) Banville, J.; Grandmaison, J.; Lang, G.; Brassard, P. Can. J. Chem. 1974, 52, 80.
 c) Cameron, D. W.; Crossley, M. J.; Feutrill, G. I. J. Chem. Soc. Chem Commun. 1976, 275.
- 4. Mohrle, H.; Herbruggen, G. S. Arch. Pharm. 1991, 324, 165.
- 5. a) Marcos, A.; Pedregal, C.; Avendaño, C. Tetrahedron 1994, 50, 12941. b) Marcos, A.; Pedregal, C.; Avendaño, C. Tetrahedron 1995, 51, 1763.
- 6. Pettit, G. R.; Fleming, W. C.; Paull, K. D. J. Org. Chem. 1968, 33, 1089.
- 7. Duhne, S.; Rauft, J.; Paul, H. Tetrahedron Lett. 1964, 3355.
- 8. Driebergen, R. D.; den Hartigh, J.; Holthuis, J. J. M.; Hulshoff, A.; Van Oort, W. J.; Kelder, S. J. P.; Verboom, W.; Reinhoudt, D. N.; Bos, M.; Van Der Linden, W. E. Anal. Chim. Acta 1990, 233, 251.
- 9. Bossert, F.; Meyer, H.; Wehinger, E. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 1981, 20, 762.
- 10. Martius, F. J. C.; Viljoen, A. M.; Strydom, S. J.; Fourie, L.; Wessels, P. L. Tetrahedron 1988, 44, 591.
- 11. Post, H. W.; Erickson, E. R. J. Org. Chem. 1937, 2, 260.
- 12. Eiden, F.; Schnabel, K.; Wiedemann, H. Arch. Pharm. 1975, 308, 622.
- 13. a) Van Allan, J. A.; Reynolds, G. A. J. Org. Chem. 1962, 27, 2873. b) Yanni, A. S. Indian J. Chem. 1991, 30B, 808.
- 14. Singh, S.; Gill, S.; Kaur, U. Indian J. Chem. 1987, 26B, 197.
- 15. Katritzky, A. R.; Fan, W-Q. J. Heterocyclic Chem. 1988, 25, 901.

- a) Baxter, I.; Cameron, D. W.; Titman, R. B. J. Chem. Soc. C. 1971, 1253. b) Baxter, I.; Cameron, D. W.; Titman, R. B. J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. 1969, 563.
- 17. Perrin, D. D.; Armarego, W. L. F. Purification of Laboratory Chemicals, 3rd ed.; Pergamon, 1987.

(Received in UK 9 March 1995; revised 11 April 1995; accepted 12 April 1995)